# Potentiality of Uranium Mineralisation in the Environs of Chhattisgarh Basin, India: a new occurrence in Chhibra

# Mithilesh Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Ashim Jana<sup>1\*</sup>, Ajay Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Pramod Kumar<sup>1</sup>, A. K. Rai<sup>2</sup>

Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research, Department of Atomic Energy, Jamshedpur<sup>1</sup>- 831 002, Hyderabad<sup>2</sup>- 500 016, India \*corresponding author- ashimjana.amd@gov.in

#### Abstract

The Mesoproterozoic intracratonic basins are known for hosting medium to high grade, large tonnage unconformity-type uranium deposits in the world. Besides Cuddapah basin, Chhattisgarh basin is also identified as one of the major favourable targets for uranium mineralisation in India based on its geological evolution, structural and tectonic framework. Extensive uranium exploration carried out along the northeastern and southeastern margins of Chhattisgarh basin and basement Sambalpur Granitoids has brought out significant uranium occurrences hosted by both the basement rocks as well as cover sediments. Unconformity related, fracture bound, significant epigenetic uranium mineralisation is manifested in the newly located uranium occurrence at Chhibra, Mahasamund district, Chhattisgarh which is intermittently exposed over a strike length of 800m and width of 5m to 50m in the pyritiferous feldspathic arenite of Rehatikhol Formation of Singhora Group proximal to the Mesoproterozoic unconformity. Grab samples (n=43) physically assayed 0.010% to 0.120% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and <0.005% ThO<sub>2</sub>. Uraninite and pitchblende have been identified as uranium minerals. Uraninite occurs as vein in association with pyrite and at places with galena. The U/Th ratio of Sambalpur Granitoids and trachyte are respectively 1:1.8 and 3.3:1 indicating fertile nature of basement which has great importance in search of unconformity related uranium mineralisation in the southeastern part of Chhattisgarh basin. The favourable factors like geological, geochemical, geophysical, sedimentological, tectonic framework and presence of fertile granitic rocks with <5-26 ppm U (n=36) in the provenance indicate its potentiality for uranium mineralisation. The exploration so far, has been mostly confined around the shallow basin margins leaving deeper part unexplored. The recent discovery of highgrade uranium mineralisation in the cover sediments near Chhibra has opened up new possibilities for further exploration in the deeper part of the basin around suitable litho-structural settings, especially along the N-S, NNW-SSE, NE-SW and ENE-WSW trending shear/fault zones and their intersections.

Keywords: Uranium, Chhattisgarh, Chhibra, Unconformity

### Introduction

Globally, the Mesoproterozoic intracratonic basins are highly potential for hosting unconformitytype uranium deposit as it was the first time when uranium from all the sources came to solution after the great oxidation rvent (GOE) and precipitated along the unconformity surface to produce medium to high grade, large tonnage uranium deposits, e.g. Athabasca basin, Saskatchewan, Canada (Fogwill, 1981; Sibbald, 1986, 1988) and Pine Creek geosyncline, Northern Territory, Australia (Needham and Roarty, 1980; Needham et al., 1988). In India, unconformity-related small uranium deposits have been identified at Lambapur-Yellapur-Chitrial in the northern part of the Cuddapah basin (Sinha, et al., 1995, 1996; Sharma, et al., 1995). Based on the geological setting, age and fertile basement provenance, the other Proterozoic basins such as the Chhattisgarh basin has been one of the major exploration targets for uranium mineralisation. The Mesoproterozoic Chhattisgarh basin has evolved on the

northern fringe of Bastar craton and occupies an area of about 33,000 sq. km. with 2500 m thick sediments (Murti, 1987; Das et al., 1992) in the central part of Chhattisgarh and western part of Odisha state. The geological evolution, structural and tectonic framework of the Chhattisgarh basin favours its potentiality for hosting uranium mineralisation in association with base metals and other polymetallic mineralisation (Patnaik, 1989; Sinha and Hansoti, 1995; Sinha et al., 1998; Yadava et al., 2007). Uranium investigations in the eastern part of Chhattisgarh basin commenced in early eighties to look for sandstone-type uranium mineralisation and during mid-nineties the exploration switched for basement hosted fracture/shear-controlled vein-type uranium mineralisation. More than forty uranium occurrences having sizeable dimensions has been located mostly along the northeastern and southeastern margins of Chhattisgarh basin and basement Sambalpur Granitoids hosted by both the basement rocks as well as cover sediments of Chhattisgarh basin. But it covers only 4-5% area of the basin (mostly along the eastern margin) leaving the internal part of the basin unexplored.

The present paper deals with the potentiality of uranium mineralisation in Chhattisgarh basin with emphasis on recently located significant occurrence of uranium mineralisation near Chhibra in Rehatikhol Formation of Singhora Group along the southeastern margin of Chhattisgarh basin and attempts to select new prospecting targets by evolving conceptual models and exploration strategies.

# **Geological and Tectonic Setting**

The Mesoproterozoic intracratonic Chhattisgarh basin is third largest Proterozoic basin in Central India. The near crescent-shaped Chhattisgarh basin is situated within the Central Indian craton which is surrounded by Sambalpur granitoids in the east (2380 ± 44 Ma; Choudhary et al., 1996), Khairagarh volcanosedimentary rocks in the west (2120  $\pm$  35 Ma; Sinha, 1993), Nandgaon volcano-plutonics in the southwest  $(2462 \pm 25 \text{ to } 2039 \pm 79 \text{ Ma}; \text{ Pandey et al., } 1995),$ Bilaspur-Raigarh-Surguja belt of Sausar Group in the north  $(1541 \pm 26 \text{ to } 1100 \pm 20 \text{ Ma}; \text{Pandey et al., } 1995)$ and metasediments and granitoids of Bastar craton in the south  $(3610 \pm 336 \text{ to } 2110 \pm 41\text{Ma}; \text{Pandey et al.},$ 1995). Sonakhan volcano-sediments and volcanoplutonics (2347  $\pm$  16 Ma; Pandey et al., 1995) trending in the NNW-SSE direction divides Chhattisgarh basin into two sub-basins, namely, Baradwar sub-basin in the east and Hirri sub-basin in the west. Baradwar subbasin is geologically more important for hosting uranium mineralisation than Hirri sub-basin as this part hosts all the three groups of the Chhattishgarh Supergroup namely Singhora (arenites, shales and limestones), Chandrapur (predominantly sandstones/

Table-1: Stratigraphic succession of Chhattisgarh basin (after Das et al., 1992)

| HIRRI S  | SUB-BASIN   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| NORTH  | IERN PART   | SO   | UTHERN PART                             | BA   | RAD                              | WAR SUB-BASIN  |  |  |  |
| R  | Maniari Shale   | Ma   | niari Shale with gypsum                 |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| A Hirri dolomite                                       |   | Hir  | ri dolomite                             | Sa   | radih d                          | lolomite, limestone and black  |  |  |  |
| Ι  |   | Tare   | enga argillite, arenite and cherty-clay | shale                                      |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Р  | Pandaria purple calc-   | Cha  | Chandi stromatolitic limestone with     |  |                                  | Bamandihi purple calc-argillite with   |  |  |  |
| U  | argillite with grey   | argi   | argillite and arenite member            |  |                                  | stromatolitic limestone as lenses and  |  |  |  |
| R  | bedded limestone,   |  |   | pockets                                    |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| G  | stromatolitic limestone   | Gur  | nderdehi argillite with arenite         |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| R  | and dolomite as lenses  | ban  | d                                       |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 0  | and pockets   | Cha  | umuria bedded limestone                 |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| U  |   | Cile   |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Р  |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| C  | Kansapathar   | Kar  | nsapathar                               | Ka   | nsapat                           | har  |  |  |  |
| Н  | glauconite arenite  | glaı   | aconite arenite                         | gla  | uconit                           | te arenite   |  |  |  |
| AG   |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| NR   | Disconformity   | Chaporadih argillite and arenite                                     |   |  | Chaporadih argillite and arenite |  |  |  |  |
| DO   | Lohardih conglomerate   | Loh  | ardih conglomerate and arkose           | Lohardih conglomerate and arkose           |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| RU   | and arkose  |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| AP   |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| P  |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ĸ  |   |  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unconformi  | ty   |   |  |                                  | Disconformity  |  |  |  |
| Bilaspur   | r-Raigarh-Surguja   |  | Granite and gneisses of Bastar          | G  |                                  | Chhuipali purple argillite and   |  |  |  |
| Metamo   | orphic belt and Chilpi Group  | )  | craton and Sonakhan Group               | S  | C                                | stromatolitic carbonate  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | I<br>N                                     | U<br>D                           | DL 1 1 m m m t   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | N  | ĸ                                | Bhalukona arenite  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | G  | U<br>U                           |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | П  | U                                | Saraipan purple arginite with  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  | Р                                | porcenante   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | K  |                                  | Debatikhol arkees and  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | А  |                                  | conglomorato   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |                                  | Linconformity  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |                                  | Sombolnum Cronito of Dester  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |                                  | Samualpur Granite of Bastar  |  |  |  |
| D O<br>R U<br>A P<br>P<br>U<br>R<br>Bilaspur<br>Metamo | Lohardih conglomerate<br>and arkose<br>Unconformi<br>r-Raigarh-Surguja<br>rphic belt and Chilpi Group | Chaporadih argillite and arenite<br>Lohardih conglomerate and arkose |   | Lo<br>S<br>I<br>N<br>G<br>H<br>O<br>R<br>A | G<br>R<br>O<br>U<br>P            | conglomerate and arkose<br>Disconformity<br>Chhuipali purple argillite and<br>stromatolitic carbonate<br>Bhalukona arenite<br>Saraipali purple argillite with<br>porcellanite<br>Rehatikhol arkose and<br>conglomerate<br>Unconformity<br>Sambalpur Granite of Bastar<br>craton and Sonakhan Group |  |  |  |

quartzites) and Raipur (shales/limestones) groups (Das et al., 1992) (Table-1). The oldest Singhora Group is exposed only along the two embryonic basins, namely the Singhora and Barapahar, situated along the southeastern and eastern portions of the Chhattisgarh basin (Fig. 1a). Singhora Group is divided into four formations namely Rehatikhol, Saraipali, Bhalukona and Chhuipali which are exposed in the southern part, overlying the basement rocks of Sambalpur granitoids (Fig. 1b). It was evolved during Paleo to Mesoproterozoic period (1600-1800 Ma) whereas, sedimentation in main Chhattisgarh basin was initiated around 1300 Ma (Das et al., 2001). The siliciclastic detritus contributed from the surrounding fertile provenance and deposited on a stable shelf environment in fluvial fan deposit. The detritus deposited in the basal part of the basin contains high uranium content which is mainly derived from the fertile granitic basement (n=36, <5-26ppm U).

### **Uranium Mineralisation**

Exploration for uranium in Chhattisgarh basin commenced in early 1960s by Atomic Minerals Directorate (AMD) for exploration and research and till 1990s, thoriferous anomalies associated with the basal conglomerates of Singhora and Chandrapur groups were reported. Uranium mineralisation of 0.032% $eU_3O_8 \ge 0.80m$  ( $eU_3O_8$  is equivalent uranium oxide) was reported for the first time in greenish black shale in the borehole drilled by Bhilai Steel Plant (BSP) near Stadium at Durg in the western part of the basin in 1980. Subsequent exploration shows that the uranium mineralisation occurs in two distinct geological settings in the vicinity of the unconformity i.e., (1) cataclasites in shears/fracture zones within basement granites/migmatites and metabasic/basic rocks, and (2) arenites of Singhora and Chandrapur groups. A number of uranium occurrences were also reported from Chhattisgarh basin associated with both cover sediments e.g., Juba-Banjhapali, Govardhangiri-Bagia nala, Sapnai nala, Chitakhol, etc. and basement granite e.g., Kashipali, Karichhapar, Dulapali, Damdama, Makarmunda, Malaikhaman, Jhal, Dumarpali, Jharmunda, Amlipali, Samarbaga, Telan, Borjha, etc. (Sinha and Hansoti, 1995; Sinha, et al., 1998; Bhattacharjee, et al., 2005; Gupta, et al., 2008; Tiwary, et al., 2004; Kumar, et al., 2000; Mukundan, et al., 2000; Bhairam, et al., 1998; Mukundan, et al., 2000; Pant, et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2014) (Fig. 1a, Table-2). Though reconnoitory drilling has been carried out in few areas but sizeable persistency and grade of uranium mineralisation could not be established. These uranium mineralisations invariably occur in association with polymetallic sulphides (Sinha and Hansoti, 1995; Sinha, et al., 1998; Patnaik, 1989; Yadava, et al., 2007).

Table-2: Uranium occurrences in basement and cover sediments of Chhattisgarh basin

| Locality                         | District, State                    | Host Rock  | %U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Juba-Banjhapali                  | Mahasamund district, Chhattisgarh  |            | 0.010-0.078                    |
| Govardhangiri-Bagia Nala         | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | < 0.010-0.80                   |
| Kalangpali                       | Bargarh district, Odisha           | cover      | up to 0.017                    |
| Sapnai Nala                      | Raigarh district, Chhattisgarh     | sediments  | < 0.010-0.044                  |
| Chitakhol-Renkhol-Bokarda        | Korba and Janjgir-Champa district, |            | <0.012-0.39                    |
|                                  | Chhattisgarh                       |            |                                |
| Kashipali-Jaipur                 | Raigarh district, Chhattisgarh     |            | 0.010-0.96                     |
| Karichhapar                      | Raigarh district, Chhattisgarh     |            | 0.011-0.41                     |
| Dulapali, Dongaripali, Paraskol- | Raigarh district, Chhattisgarh     |            | 0.026-0.43                     |
| Sonabela, Damdama                |                                    |            |                                |
| Malaikhaman                      | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.026-0.11                     |
| Makarumunda                      | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.013-3.3                      |
| Ghoghara                         | Bargarh district, Odisha           | basement   | 0.086-0.30                     |
| Kanhari                          | Rajnandgaon district, Chhattisgarh | granitoids | < 0.010-0.045                  |
| Jhal- Dumarpali                  | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.010-0.87                     |
| Jharmunda                        | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.010-0.62                     |
| Amlipali                         | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.032-0.33                     |
| Negimunda                        | Bargarh district, Odisha           |            | 0.011-0.040                    |
| Bidhanpali                       | Bargarh district, Odisha           | ]          | 0.017-0.052                    |
| Samarbaga-Telan-Borjha           | Jharsuguda district, Odisha        | ]          | 0.011-0.63                     |



Recently located occurrence of uranium mineralisation of Chhibra (lat. 21°16.904'N: 21°17.250'N and long. 83°15.648'E: 83°15.921'E), Mahasamund district, Chhattisgarh (Fig. 1b & c) has enhanced the potentiality of uranium along the southeastern margin of Chhattisgarh basin. The uranium mineralisation here occurs in pyritiferous feldspathic arenite of Rehatikhol Formation along a shear zone trending N60°E-S60°W direction nearly 40m above the Mesoproterozoic unconformity between Sambalpur Singhora Group and Granitoids intermittently over 800m strike length with width from 5m to 50m and thickness from 1m to 4m. The physical assay of the mineralized rock samples (n=43) indicated 0.014 to 0.140% eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> 0.010 to 0.120% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> ( $\beta/\gamma$ ) and <0.005% ThO<sub>2</sub> (Table-3). Rehatikhol Formation consists of basal conglomerate which directly rests over the Sambalpur Granitoids and the conglomerate followed by pebbly feldspathic to sub-feldspathic arenite and cross bedded medium to coarse grained arenite with thin layers of pebbly arenite horizon at places.

The petrographic and mineralogical studies indicate that the host rock for uranium mineralisation is poorly to moderately sorted feldspathic arenite. It is medium to coarse grained, pale greenish to light grey coloured and mainly consists of angular to sub-angular grains of quartz, microcline, minor plagioclase feldspar and numerous opaque minerals with approximately 10-15% matrix (visual estimation) composed of clay, chlorite and sericite (Fig. 2a). Pyrite also occurs in the matrix along grain contact of quartz and feldspar (Fig. 2b). Feldspars are commonly altered into clay and sericite (Fig. 4b). The Cellulose Nitrate (CN) film autoradiographic study indicates medium to high density alpha tracks over CN-film due to the presence of radioactive phase which has been identified as pitchblende. It occurs as vein in association with pyrite and at places with galena along grain boundary of quartz and feldspar (Fig. 3a, 3b). The XRD study shows presence of traces of uraninite along with quartz, pyrite, chalcopyrite, microcline, jarosite and titanite (Table-4). Patches of secondary uranyl mineral (Fig. 4a) as well as adsorbed uranium are also observed over clays, hydrated iron oxides and altered feldspar (Fig. 4b, 4c). Pyrite is the main sulphide ore mineral identified occurring as vein material filling the space between the grain contact of quartz and feldspar in the matrix of feldspathic arenite. The pyrites generally occur as cubic to anhedral in shape. Other ore minerals identified are galena, chalcocite, covellite and chalcopyrite occurring as vein along grain boundaries or fracture-filling in quartz.

Table-3: Physical assay result of the radioactive feldspathic arenite samples of Chhibra

| Sample | %                              | % U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | % ThO <sub>2</sub> | % K | Sample | %                              | % U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | % ThO <sub>2</sub> | % K   |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| No.    | eU <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | (β/γ)                           |                    |     | No.    | eU <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | (β/γ)                           |                    |       |
| CHB-1  | 0.140                          | 0.078                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-25 | 0.028                          | 0.025                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-2  | 0.089                          | 0.062                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-26 | 0.033                          | 0.037                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-3  | 0.090                          | 0.050                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-27 | 0.023                          | 0.030                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-4  | 0.066                          | 0.050                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-28 | 0.015                          | 0.014                           | < 0.005            | 1.4   |
| CHB-5  | 0.076                          | 0.041                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-30 | 0.016                          | 0.014                           | < 0.005            | 1.4   |
| CHB-6  | 0.056                          | 0.038                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-31 | 0.014                          | 0.013                           | < 0.005            | 2.3   |
| CHB-7  | 0.058                          | 0.035                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-32 | 0.014                          | 0.013                           | < 0.005            | 1.7   |
| CHB-8  | 0.043                          | 0.043                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-33 | 0.015                          | 0.010                           | < 0.005            | 1.9   |
| CHB-9  | 0.036                          | 0.034                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-34 | 0.017                          | 0.015                           | < 0.005            | < 0.5 |
| CHB-10 | 0.040                          | 0.036                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-35 | 0.017                          | 0.015                           | < 0.005            | < 0.5 |
| CHB-11 | 0.034                          | 0.036                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-36 | 0.014                          | 0.013                           | < 0.005            | 1.6   |
| CHB-12 | 0.031                          | 0.027                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-37 | 0.048                          | 0.043                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-13 | 0.023                          | 0.018                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-38 | 0.032                          | 0.030                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-14 | 0.024                          | 0.024                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-39 | 0.029                          | 0.025                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-15 | 0.021                          | 0.024                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-40 | 0.018                          | 0.019                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-16 | 0.021                          | 0.019                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-41 | 0.020                          | 0.023                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-17 | 0.017                          | 0.019                           | < 0.005            | 2.5 | CHB-42 | 0.022                          | 0.025                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-18 | 0.018                          | 0.017                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-43 | 0.022                          | 0.024                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-19 | 0.019                          | 0.023                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-44 | 0.024                          | 0.026                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-22 | 0.120                          | 0.120                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-45 | 0.025                          | 0.028                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-23 | 0.088                          | 0.078                           | < 0.005            |     | CHB-46 | 0.024                          | 0.028                           | < 0.005            |       |
| CHB-24 | 0.074                          | 0.064                           | < 0.005            |     |        |                                |                                 |                    |       |



Figure 2. (a) General view of icl-dspathic arenite, Chhibra area, Chhattisgarh, TL, air, 2N., (b) Pyrite (Py) as matrix along grain boundary of quartz grains (Qtz) in feldspathic arenite, Chhibra area, Chhattisgarh, RL, air, 1N.



Figure 3. (a) Pitchblende (Pitchbl) as vein material in association with pyrite (Py) in quartz in feldspathic arenite, (b) Corresponding high density alpha tracks over CN films due to pitchblende in feldspathic arenite, in Figure 6a, Chhibra area, Mahasamund district, Chhattisgarh, TL, air, 1N.



Figure 4. (a) High density alpha tracks due to patches of secondary uranyl minerals in feldspathic arenite, TL, air, 1N, (b) altered feldspar with perthitic texture in feldspathic arenite, TL, air, 2N, (c) moderate to high density alpha tracks over altered feldspar g TL- Transmitted Light; RL- Reflected Light; 1N- Under Plane Polarised Light; 2N- Under Crossed Nicols; Air- Viewed under air rain in figure 4b in feldspathic arenite, TL, air, 1N, Chhibra area, Mahasamund district, Chhattisgarh.

Table-4: XRD analysis result of feldspathic arenite

| Sample no. | Atomic Minerals     | Other minerals   |
|------------|---------------------|--|
| CHB-1      | None                | Pyrite, quartz, traces of anatase, chalcopyrite, microcline and titanite |
| CHB-2      | Traces of Uraninite | Jarosite, microcline, pyrite, quartz and traces of titanite              |

## **Genesis of Chhibra Uranium Mineralisation**

Chhibra uranium mineralisation is significant as it occurs continuously along a shear zone forming a linear ridge in N60°E-S60°W direction showing silicification, sulphidisation, kaolinisation and ferruginisation. It is primarily structurally controlled and mineralized fluid source could be the basement pink potassic granite with felsic volcanic rock (trachyte) located nearly 40m below the unconformity between Singhora Group and the Sambalpur Granitoids. Besides, the high potassic granites, the felsic volcanic rocks especially rhyolites and trachytes have been considered to be good source of uranium world over where uranium and thorium abundances in volcanic rocks have been reported to range from 3-26 ppm and 20-32 ppm respectively (Dahlkamp, 1993). Uranium mineralisation associated with felsic volcanic rocks viz., rhyolite, trachyte, etc. have also been reported in different parts of India (Maithani and Srinivasan, 2010;

Sinha and Jain, 2008). In the present area, the U/Th ratio of Sambalpur Granitoids and intrusive trachyte are 1:1.8 (n=36; XRF data) and 3.3:1 (n=6; Chemical data) respectively showing fertile nature of the basement source rock (Table-5 & 6).

The sedimentary succession of Singhora basin is thought to be deposited by fault-controlled sedimentation under a shallow-crustal brittle deformational regime (Chaudhuri et al., 2002; Dhang and Patranbis-Deb, 2011; Patranbis-Deb, 2004; Patranbis-Deb and Chaudhuri, 2007, 2008) where the basin margins are mostly affected by several N-S, NNW-SSE, NE-SW and ENE-WSW faults crosscutting the basement and cover sediments and their subsequent reactivation through multiple tectonic events. The uranium bearing fertile basement granites coupled with tectonic and igneous activities provided thermal gradient and channels through these fault/shear

Table-5: XRF result showing U & Th values of Sambalpur Granitoids

| Sample no. | U (ppm) | Th (ppm) | U/Th | Sample no. | U (ppm) | Th (ppm) | U/Th |
|------------|---------|----------|------|------------|---------|----------|------|
| B13A6      | <5      | 26       | 0.10 | B14A9      | <5      | 31       | 0.08 |
| B13A7      | 8       | 41       | 0.20 | B13A9      | <5      | 36       | 0.07 |
| B13A8      | <5      | 35       | 0.07 | B13A10     | 12      | 13       | 0.92 |
| B14A5      | <5      | 22       | 0.11 | B13A11     | <5      | 12       | 0.21 |
| B19A0      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B13A12     | <5      | <5       | 1.00 |
| B19A2      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B13A13     | <5      | 27       | 0.09 |
| B18A3      | 5       | 8        | 0.63 | B19A1      | 13      | 11       | 1.18 |
| B18A5      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B16A4'     | <5      | 7        | 0.36 |
| B17A3'     | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B16A4      | 16      | 24       | 0.67 |
| B17A2'     | <5      | 10       | 0.25 | B16A5      | <5      | 24       | 0.10 |
| B17A3      | 5       | 32       | 0.16 | B15A6'     | 18      | 10       | 1.80 |
| B17A4      | <5      | 10       | 0.25 | B15A5'     | <5      | 19       | 0.13 |
| B17A5      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B15A4'     | 8       | 9        | 0.89 |
| B12A14     | <5      | 21       | 0.12 | B15A4      | <5      | 10       | 0.25 |
| B11A15     | <5      | 11       | 0.23 | B15A5      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 |
| B10A16     | <5      | 14       | 0.18 | B15A7      | 26      | 19       | 1.37 |
| B16A3      | 8       | 19       | 0.42 | B14A7      | 6       | 9        | 0.67 |
| B14A6      | <5      | <5       | 1.00 | B14A8      | <5      | 12       | 0.21 |

| Table-61 | XRE   | result | showing | $\Pi X$ | r 'I'h | values | of Th | rachyte |
|----------|-------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| rubic 0. | 771/1 | result | Showing | 00      |        | varues | 01 11 | ucityte |

| Sample no. | U (ppm) | Th (ppm) | U/Th |
|------------|---------|----------|------|
| XG-4032    | 47      | 20       | 2.35 |
| XG-4033    | 24      | 4        | 6.00 |
| XG-4034    | 8       | 3        | 2.67 |
| XG-4035    | 6       | 3        | 2.00 |
| XG-4036    | 10      | 3        | 3.33 |
| XG-4037    | 10      | 3        | 3.33 |

(here ENE-WSW fault) the zones across Mesoproterozoic unconformity for uranium migration and enrichment in pyritiferous feldspathic arenite of Chhibra. Occurrences of silica veins cutting across quartz and feldspar grains in feldspathic arenite also indicate post depositional tectonic activity near the basin margin. The discrete uranium in the basement granites and secondary uranium minerals along fractures, fissures, pore spaces might have contributed in the enrichment of uranium in the cover sediments of Singhora Group. The silicification, argillisation, kaolinisation and hematitisation accompanied the uranium mineralisation due to subsequent alteration processes. The late stage hydrothermal solutions might have caused the breakdown of feldspars by losing sodium, potassium and partially silica and subsequently formation of argillitic alteration products, iron oxyhydrides and uranyl oxide hydrates. The temperature ranging from meteoric water to hydrothermal solutions appears to be instrumental in near surface mineralogical alteration. This may have shifted the pH to alkaline nature causing uranium precipitation in the fractures and as adsorption on the clay minerals and iron oxyhydrides.

### **Discussion and Conclusions**

Chhattisgarh basin has been one of the favourable targets for uranium exploration among the Proterozoic basins in Central India. It has been correlated with the other Proterozoic basins of Central India viz., Khariar, Ampani, Indravati and Sabari based on their litho-characters similar to siliciclasticcarbonate unit of the Singhora Group and their evolution close to Eastern Ghats mobile belt (EGMB) around 1600-1800 Ma (Ramakrishnan, M., 1990; Das et al., 2001). Based on the synthesis and analysis of data on uranium investigations carried out so far in Chhattisgarh basin, four main facts have emerged. They are: (a) uranium investigations have been carried out mainly along the basin margins which constitutes about 4-5% of the total area leaving internal part of the basin unexplored, (b) applications of required exploration techniques viz., deep borehole drilling, geophysical and geochemical techniques are negligible in the inner part, (c) lack of data on the general distribution of the radioelement concentrations in different litho-units and (d) confinement of all the major uranium occurrences (more than forty) located so far, in the eastern part of the Chhattisgarh basin. In the light of the above facts, the potentiality of uranium mineralisation in the Chhattisgarh basin, and the newly located Chhibra uranium anomaly assumes importance.

The uranium mineralisation generally occurring as fracture filled veins, stringers and around grain boundaries are the signatures of typical epigenetic hydrothermal mineralization. It has been postulated that availability of uranium bearing solutions and pyrite along with other sulphides are the main factors which control mineralisation (Sinha et al., 1998) whereas, in the oxidized zone, iron rich hydrothermal solution might have played a key role in uranium mineralisation (Gupta et al., 2008). The occurrences of uranium, polymetallic sulphides (Pb, Zn, Cu, As±Ag), oxides of Ti and Fe in association with chert±fluorite veins in the basement of Sambalpur granitoids (Yadava et al., 2007) as well as in the arenites of Singhora and Chandrapur groups (Sinha and Hansoti, 1995; Sinha et al., 1998) along the eastern part of Chhattisgarh basin show epigenetic hydrothermal mineralisation. The large number of uranium occurrences in association with polymetallic sulphide mineralisation along the unconformity surface of Singhora Group and basement Sambalpur granitoids all along the basin margin of the eastern part of the Chhattisgarh indicate hydrothermal activity on regional scale, thus makes a favourable target for hosting shear/fracture controlled vein-type as well as unconformity-related uranium mineralisation.

The limitations of deep penetrating geophysical techniques so far, and limited exposures of the basal sequence have been the major constraints in exploration of deeper part and therefore, the exploration was confined mainly to the basin margins. The advancement in the geophysical techniques like airborne magnetic, radiometric and electromagnetic surveys in uranium exploration, the strategy needs to be revised to look into the deeper part of the basin especially along the N-S, NNW-SSE, NE-SW and ENE-WSW trending shear/fault zones and their intersections for better prospect. Along with geophysical techniques, geochemical exploration, especially litho-geochemistry, can be applied to study the alteration features like illitisation, kaolinisation and chloritisation which could prove to be a useful tool in defining the target areas for unconformity-related uranium mineralisation (Sopuck et al., 1983). This technique has become a useful exploration method and become more valuable to examine areas of conductor trends as exploration moves towards deeper areas (Mathews et al., 1997).

In view of the earlier known uranium occurrences in the cover sediments as well as in the basement rocks and the newly located epigenetic uranium mineralisation near Chhibra over a significant dimension in the form of disseminations in the matrix, inclusions in altered feldspar grains, veins and stringers along fractures and adsorbed on clay and hydrated iron oxy-hydrides in pyritiferous feldspathic arenite of Rehatikhol Formation in the vicinity of Mesoproterozoic unconformity having fertile source rock of Sambalpur granitoids and intrusive trachyte dykes in the basement with U/Th ratio of 1:1.8 and 3.3:1 respectively bears a great significance for unconformity-related exploration of uranium mineralisation in this part of Chhattisgarh basin. Acknowledgement

138

We are highly grateful to Director, AMD, Hyderabad for giving permission to publish this paper. We are also thankful to the reviewers of AMD for helping to enhance the quality of paper and related data of uranium mineralization in Chhattisgarh basin. We thank the scientists of Physics, XRD & XRF laboratories of AMD for analytical supports.

### References

- Bhairam, C.L., Raju, B.V.S.N., Rao, M.K. and Dattanarayan, T.A. (1998), Delineation of uraniferous horizon in cataclasite zones using integrated technique in Precambrian basement in the environ of Chhattisgarh basin. Seminar on Precambrian Crust. (Abs.), p. 155-158.
- Bhattacharjee, I., Mukundhan, A.R., Sachan, A.S., Tiwary, K.N., Sinha, R.M., and Gupta, R.K. (2005), Proterozoic unconformity related uranium mineralization around Chitakhol area, Korba district, Chhattishgarh. India. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 65: 619-623.
- Chaudhuri, A.K., Saha, D., Deb, G.K., Patranabis-Deb, S., Mukherjee, M.K. and Ghosh, G., (2002), The purana basins of southern cratonic province of India- a case for mesoproterozoic fossil rifts. Gondwana Research 5, 23– 33.
- Choudhary, A.K., Naik, A., Mukhopadhyay, D. and Gopalan, K. (1996), Rb-Sr dating of Sambalpur Granodiorite, Western Orissa. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 47(4): 503-506.
- Dahlkamp, F.J. (1993), Uranium Ore Deposits. Publ. Springer, Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, p. 57-68.
- Das, D.P., Kundu, A., Das, N., Dutta, D.R., Kumaran, K., Ramanamurthy, S., Thanavelu, C. and Rajaiya, V. (1992), Lithostratigraphy and Sedimentation of Chhattisgarh Basin. Ind. Min., 46 (3& 4): p. 271-288.
- Das, D.P., Dutta, N.K., Dutta, D.R., Thanavellu, C. and Baburao, K. (2003), Singhora Group -The Oldest Proterozoic Lithopackage of Eastern Bastar Craton and its Significance. Ind. Min., 57(3 & 4): 127-138.
- Das, Nitish., Dutta, D.R. and Das, D.P. (2001), Proterozoic Cover Rocks of South-Eastern Chhattisgarh State and Adjoining Part of Odisha. Spl. Pub. Geol. Surv. India, No. 55, p. 237-262.
- Dhang, P.C. and Patranabis-Deb, S. (2011), Lithostratigraphy of the basal part of the Chattisgarh Supergroup around Singhora-Saraipali area and its tectonic implication. In: Tiwari, R. P. (Ed.), Cenozoic Tectonics, Seismology and Paleobiology of the Eastern Himalayas and Indo-Myanmar Range. Geological Society of India Memoir, Bangalore, p. 77.
- Fogwill, W.D. (1981), Canadian and Saskatchewan uranium deposits: compilation, metallogeny, models, exploration, In: T.I.I. Sibbald and W. Petruk (Editors.) Geology of Uranium deposits, C.I.M. special volume, v. 34, p. 3-19.
- Gupta, P.K., Rajeeva Ranjan, Mukundan, A.R., Deshpande, M.S.M., Shrivastava, V.K. and Yadava, R.S. (2008), Uranium Mineralisation along the Northeastern Margin of Proterozoic Chhattisgarh basin around Chitakhol, Central India: A Petrological Study. Expl. and Res. for Atomic Minerals, v. 18, p. 33-53.
- Kumar, K., Mukundhan, A.R., Shivkumar, K., Tiwary, A., and Bhattacharya, A.K. (2000), A note on basement fracture controlled uranium mineralisation in Raigarh district. Proc. seminar on mineral potential of Central

India: challenges in next millennium, Abstract volume, Dr. Harisingh Gaur University, Sagar.

- Maithani, P.B. and Srinivasan, S. (2010), Felsic Volcanic Rocks, a Potential Source of Uranium - An Indian Overview. (2010), Energy Proced, Asian Nuclear Prospects.
- Mathews, R., Koch, R. and Leppin, M. (1997), Advances in Integrated Exploration for Unconformity Uranium Deposits in Western Canada. Proc. of Expl. 97. Fourth Decennial International Conference on Mineral Exploration. Edited by A.G. Gubbins. p. 993-1024.
- Mukundhan, A.R., Shrivastava, V.K., Bhattacharya, A.K., Veerbhaskar, D. (2000), Uranium mineralization from the eastern margin of the Chhattisgarh basin-A new find. Indian Jour. Geology, 72(2): 157-159.
- Murti, K.S. (1987), Stratigraphy and Sedimentation in Chhattisgarh Basin. In: Purana Basins of Peninsular India. Mem. Geol. Surv. India, 6: 239-260.
- Needham, R.S. and Roarty, M.J. (1980), An overview of metallic mineralisation in the Pine Creek Geosyncline. In: John Ferguson, and A. B. Goleby (Editors). Uranium in the Pine Creek Geosyncline, Internatl. At. Energy Agency (IAEA), p. 157-173.
- Needham, R.S., Ewers, G.R., and Ferguson, J. (1988), Pine Creek Geosyncline. In: Recognition of Uranium Provinces, Internatl. At. Energy Agency (IAEA), p. 235-261.
- Pandey, B.K., Chabria, T. and Gupta, J.N. (1995), Geochronological characterization of the Proterozoic terrains of Peninsular India: Relevance to the first order target selection for uranium exploration. Expl. and Res. for Atomic Minerals. v. 8, p. 187.
- Pant, P.C., Chaturvedi, A.K., Vidyasagar, D., Majumdar, A., Anjan Chaki and Bagchi, A.K. (2001), Uranium mineralisation in the granite protocataclasite and sheared basic rock of the Makrumunda and Ghoghara area, Bargarh district, Orissa. Journal of Atomic Mineral Science, v. 7, p. 13-22.
- Patnaik, S.K. (1989), Regional prospecting for base-metal mineralisation in parts of Chhattisgarh Supergroup, Raipur district, Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh). Rec. Geol. Surv. India, 122(6): 87.
- Patranabis-Deb, S. (2004), Lithostratigraphy of the Neoproterozoic Chattisgarh Sequence, its bearing on the tectonics and palaeogeography. Gondwana Research 7, 323–337.
- Patranabis-Deb, S. and Chaudhuri, A.K. (2007), A retreating fan delta system in the Neoproterozoic Chattisgarh rift basin, central India: major controls on its evolution. AAPG Bulletin 91, 785–808.
- Patranabis-Deb, S. and Chaudhuri, A.K. (2008), Stratigraphic and tectonic evolution of the Mesoproerozoic Chhattisgarh basin in central India. In: Geodynamic Regimes, Global Tectonics and Evolution of Precambrian Cratonic Basins in India, Indian Journal of Geology, 80, 1-4, (published in 2010) p.139-155.
- Ramakrishnan, M. (1990), Crustal Development in Southern Bastar, Central Indian Craton. In: Precambrian of Central India. Spec. Publ. Geol. Surv. Ind., v. 28, p. 46.
- Sharma, Mithilesh., Rai, A. K., Nagabhushana, J. C., Sinha, R. M. and Vasudeva Rao, M. (1995), Cuddapah basin and its environs as first-order uranium target in the Proterozoics of India. Expl. and Res. for Atomic Minerals, v. 8, p. 127-139.

- Sharma, U.P., Shukla, S., Sinha, P.K., Purohit, R.K., Majumdar, A. and Rai, A.K. (2014), Uranium occurrence in Proterozoic Chilpi Group, near Kanhari, Kawardha district, Chhattisgarh. Current Science, v. 107, 3: p. 364-367.
- Sibbald, T.I.I. (1986), Overview of Pre-cambrian geology, and aspects of metallogenesis of Northern Saskatchewan. In: G.F. Gilboy, and L.W. Vigrass (Editors). Economic Minerals of Saskatchewan. Special Publication. Saskatchewan Geol. Soc., Canada, p. 1-16.
- Sibbald, T.I.I. (1988), Geology and genesis of the Athabasca Basin Uranium deposits. In: Recognition of Uranium Province, Internatl. At. Energy Agency (IAEA), p. 61-105.
- Sinha, D.K. (1993), Stratigraphy of the Khairagarh Group of rocks, Central India: A reappraisal. Proc. 80<sup>th</sup> Ind. Sci. Cong., Goa. Pt. IV, p. 89.
- Sinha, D.K. and Hansoti, S.K. (1995), Uranium and polymetallic sulphides bearing Juba Member of Singhora Protobasin of Chhattisgarh Supergroup, Raipur district, Madhya Pradesh: A new horizon. Jour. Atomic Minerals Science, v. 3, p. 1-10.
- Sinha, D.K., Tiwary, A., Verma, S.C. and Singh, R. (1998), Geology, Sedimentary Environment and Geochemistry of Uraniferous Arenite of Singhora Group, Chhattisgarh Basin, Raipur District, Central India. Proc. Nat. Symp.: Recent Researches in Sedimentary Basin, p. 150-171.
- Sinha, D.K. and Jain, S.K. (2008), Uraniferous Rhyolite Vein in the basement fractures of Singhora Protobasin, Near

Juba Village, Raipur District, Chhattisgarh. Expl. and Res. for Atomic Minerals, v. 18, p. 119-124.

- Sinha, R.M., Parthasarathy, T.N. and Dwivedy, K.K. (1996), On the possibility of low-cost, medium grade uranium deposits close to the Proterozoic unconformity in Cuddapah basin, Andhra Pradesh, India, International Atomic Energy Agency- TECDOC- 868, p. 35-55.
- Sinha, R.M., Shrivastava, V.K., Sharma, G.V.G. and Parthosarathy, T.N. (1995), Geological favourability for unconformity related uranium deposits in the northern parts of Cuddapah basin: evidence from Lambapur uranium occurrence, Andhra Pradesh, India, Expl. Res. At. Min., v.8, p. 111-126.
- Sopuck, V.J., de Carle, A., Wray, E.M. and Cooper, B. (1983), Application of lithogeochemistry to the search for unconformity-type uranium deposits in the Athabasca Basin, in Cameron, E.M., ed., Uranium Exploration in Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada: Geological Survey of Canada, p. 192-205.
- Tiwary, K.N., Sachan, A.S., Allipeera, P., and Mukundhan, A.R. (2004), Geophysical strategy to decipher the basement configuration to establish unconformity related uranium mineralization around the Chitakhol area, Korba and districts, Chhattisgarh. Expl. and Res. for Atomic Minerals, v. 15, p. 33-39.
- Yadava, R.S. and Rajeeva Ranjan, Shrivastava, V.K., Sachan, A.S. and Rangnath, N. (2007), Occurrences of Polymetallic Mineralisation in Sambalpur Granitoids, Bastar Craton, Central India. Gondwana Geological Magazine, v. 10, p. 235-242.